

Homosexual Parenting: Is it Time for Change?

American College of Pediatricians – March 2013

ABSTRACT: Are children reared by two individuals of the same gender as well adjusted as children reared in families with a mother and a father? Until recently the unequivocal answer to this question was "no." Within the last decade, however, professional health organizations,¹ academics, social policymakers and the media have begun asserting that prohibitions on parenting by same-sex couples should be lifted. In making such far-reaching, generation-changing assertions, any responsible advocate would rely upon supporting evidence that is comprehensive and conclusive. Not only is this not the situation, but also there is sound evidence that children exposed to the homosexual lifestyle may be at increased risk for emotional, mental, and even physical harm.

Biology matters

Over thirty years of research confirms that children fare best when reared by their two biological parents in a loving low conflict marriage. Children navigate developmental stages more easily, are more solid in their gender identity, perform better academically, have fewer emotional disorders, and become better functioning adults when reared within their natural family.^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8} This is, in part, because biology contributes to parent-child bonding.⁹

While single parenthood, adoption, and remarriage are each loving responses to failure of the natural family, children reared in these settings face unique challenges.^{9,10} Single parents face greater financial challenges and time constraints. Consequently, children of single mothers often spend significantly less time with both biological parents. Children within stepfamilies can experience difficulties forging a relationship with the stepparent, and be faced with a sense of divided loyalties. Every adopted child must come to terms with a sense of rejection from her biologic parents and a longing to know her roots. While not insurmountable, these challenges can have a negative impact on a child's development. Clearly, apart from rare situations, depriving a child of one or both biologic parents, as same-sex parenting requires in every case, is unhealthy.

Children need a mother and a father

There are significant innate differences between male and female that are mediated by genes and hormones and go well beyond basic anatomy.

These biochemical differences are evident in the development of male and female brain anatomy, psyche, and even learning styles.¹¹ Consequently, mothers and fathers parent differently and make unique contributions to the overall development of the child.^{11,12,13} Psychological theory of child development has always recognized the critical role that mothers play in the healthy development of children. More recent research reveals that when fathers are absent, children suffer as well. Girls without fathers perform more poorly in school, are more likely to be sexually active and become pregnant as teenagers. Boys without fathers have higher rates of delinquency, violence, and aggression.^{12,13}

Gender-linked differences in child rearing styles between parents are complementary and protective for children. Erik Erikson was among the first to note that mother-love and father-love are qualitatively different. Mothers are nurturing, expressive, and more unconditional in their love for their children. Father-love, by contrast, often comes with certain expectations of achievement.¹³ Subsequent research has consistently revealed that parenting is most effective when it is both highly expressive and highly demanding. This approach to parenting "provides children with a kind of communion characterized by inclusiveness and connectedness, as well as the drive for independence and individuality [which is] virtually impossible for a man or woman alone to combine effectively."¹³

Gender differences are also reflected in the way mothers and fathers use touch with their children. Mothers frequently soothe, calm, and comfort with touch. Fathers are more likely to use touch to stimulate or excite their children during play. Mothers tend to engage with children on their level providing opportunities for children to take charge and proceed at their own pace. As fathers engage in rough and tumble play, they take on a teaching role like that of a coach. Roughhousing between fathers and sons is associated with the development of greater self-control in adolescent boys.¹³

Gender-linked diversity is also observed in parental approaches to discipline. "The disciplinary approaches of fathers tend toward firmness, relying on rules and principles. The approach of mothers tends toward more responsiveness, involving more bargaining, more adjustment toward the child's mood and context, and is more often based on an intuitive understanding of the child's needs and emotions of the moment."¹³ Consequently, being reared by a mother and a father helps sons and daughters moderate their own gender-linked inclinations. Boys generally embrace reason over emotion, rules over relationships, risk-taking over caution, and standards over compassion. Girls generally place greater emphasis on emotional ties, relationships, caution, and compassion. Over time opposite-sexed parents demonstrate to their children the value of opposing tendencies.

Research on same-sex parenting

Studies that appear to indicate neutral to favorable child outcomes from same-sex parenting have critical design flaws. These include non-longitudinal design, inadequate sample size, biased sample selection, lack of proper controls, failure to account for confounding variables, and perhaps most problematic - all claim to affirm the null hypothesis.^{14,15,16} Therefore, it is impossible for these studies to provide any support for the alleged safety or potential benefits to children from same-sex parenting.

Data on the long-term outcomes of children placed in same-sex households is sparse and gives reason for concern.¹⁷ This research has revealed that children reared in same-sex households are more likely to experience sexual

confusion, engage in risky sexual experimentation, and later adopt a same-sex identity.^{18,19,20,21,22,23} This is concerning since adolescents and young adults who adopt the homosexual lifestyle are at increased risk for mental health problems, including major depression, anxiety disorders, conduct disorders, substance dependence, and especially suicidal ideation and suicide attempts.²⁴ Recent studies confirm that children reared by same-sex couples fare worse in a multitude of outcome categories than those reared by heterosexual, married couples.^{25,26}

Risks of the homosexual lifestyle to children

Finally, research has demonstrated considerable risks to children exposed to the homosexual lifestyle. Violence between same-sex partners is two to three times more common than among married heterosexual couples.^{27,28,29,30,31} Same-sex partnerships are significantly more prone to dissolution than heterosexual marriages with the average same-sex relationship lasting only two to three years.^{32,33,34,35} Homosexual men and women are reported to be promiscuous, with serial sex partners, even within what are loosely termed "committed relationships."^{36,37,38,39,40} Individuals who practice a homosexual lifestyle are more likely than heterosexuals to experience mental illness,^{41,42,43} substance abuse,⁴⁴ suicidal tendencies^{45,46} and shortened life spans.⁴⁷ Although some would claim that these dysfunctions are a result of societal pressures in America, the same dysfunctions exist at inordinately high levels among homosexuals in cultures where the practice is more widely accepted.⁴⁸

Conclusion

In summary, tradition and science agree that biological ties and dual gender parenting are protective for children. The family environment in which children are reared plays a critical role in forming a secure gender identity, positive emotional well-being, and optimal academic achievement. Decades of social science research documents that children develop optimally when reared by their two biological parents in a low conflict marriage. The limited research advocating childrearing by same-sex parents has severe methodological limitations. There is significant risk of harm inherent in exposing a child to the homosexual lifestyle. Given the

current body of evidence, the American College of Pediatricians believes it is inappropriate, potentially hazardous to children, and dangerously irresponsible to change the age-old prohibition on same-sex parenting, whether by adoption, foster care, or reproductive

manipulation. This position is rooted in the best available science.

Principal Authors: Michelle Cretella, MD, FCP, and Den Trumbull, MD, FCP

Originally posted January 22, 2004

Revised March 2013

The American College of Pediatricians is a national medical association of licensed physicians and healthcare professionals who specialize in the care of infants, children, and adolescents. The mission of the College is to enable all children to reach their optimal physical and emotional health and well-being.

For further information, visit this link to read [New Lesbian Parenting Study Makes Claims Unsupported by the Evidence](#), this article by Rick Fitzgibbons, MD, "[Adoption Conundrums](#)," and this article by Walter Schumm, "[Not So Fast](#)" at Mercatornet.com.

REFERENCES

1. American Academy of Pediatrics, "Co-parent or Second-Parent Adoption by Same-Sex Parents," *Pediatrics*. 109(2002): 339-340.
2. Heuveline, Patrick, et.al. "Shifting Childrearing to Single Mothers: Results from 17 Western Countries," *Population and Development Review* 29, no.1 (March 2003) p. 48.
3. Kristen Andersen Moore, et.al. "Marriage from a Child's Perspective: How Does Family Structure Affect Children and What Can We Do About It?" (Washington, D.C.: Child Trends, Research Brief, June 2002) pp.1-2.
4. Sara McLanahan and Gary Sandfeur, *Growing Up with a Single Parent: What Hurts, What Helps* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1994), p. 45.
5. Sotirios Sarantakos, "Children in Three Contexts: Family, Education, and Social Development," *Children Australia*, vol. 21 (1996): 23-31.
6. Jeanne M. Hilton and Esther L. Devall, "Comparison of Parenting and Children's Behavior in Single-Mother, Single-Father, and Intact Families," *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage* 29 (1998): 23-54.
7. Elizabeth Thomson et al., "Family Structure and Child Well-Being: Economic Resources vs. Parental Behaviors," *Social Forces* 73 (1994): 221-42.
8. David Popenoe, *Life Without Father* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1996), pp. 144, 146.
9. Glenn Stanton *Why Marriage Matters* (Colorado Springs: Pinon Press, 1997) p. 97-153.
10. SchneiderB, AtteberryA, Owens A. *Family Matters: Family Structure and Child Outcomes*. Birmingham, AL: Alabama Policy Institute;2005:1-42. Available at www.alabamapolicyinstitute.org/PDFs/currentfamilystructure.pdf.
11. Sax, Leonard. *Why Gender Matters: What Parents and Teachers Need to Know About the Emerging Science of Sex Differences* (New York: Doubleday, 2005).
12. Blankenhorn, David. *Fatherless America*. (New York: Basic books, 1995).
13. Byrd, Dean. "Gender Complementarity and Child-rearing: Where Tradition and Science Agree," *Journal of Law & Family Studies*, University of Utah, Vol. 6 no. 2, 2005. <http://narth.com/docs/gendercomplementarity.html>.
14. Robert Lerner, Ph.D., Althea Nagai, Ph.D. *No Basis: What the Studies Don't Tell Us About Same Sex Parenting*, Washington DC;Marriage Law Project/Ethics and Public Policy Center, 2001.
15. P. Morgan, P. Morgan *Children as Trophies? Examining the Evidence on Same-Sex Parenting*, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; Christian Institute, 2002.
16. J. Paul Guiliani and Dwight G. Duncan, "Brief of Amici Curiae Massachusetts Family Institute and National Association for the Research and Therapy of Homosexuality," Appeal to the Supreme Court of Vermont, Docket No. S1009-97CnC.
17. American Academy of Pediatrics, Perrin, EC, and the committee on psychosocial aspects of child and family health. "Technical report: Co parent or Second-Parent Adoption by Same-Sex Parents," *Pediatrics*. 109(2002): 343. The Academy acknowledges that the "small, non-representative samples ... and the relatively young age of the children suggest some reserve."

18. F. Tasker and S. Golombok, "Adults Raised as Children in Lesbian Families," *American Journal of Orthopsychiatric Association*, 65 (1995): 213.
19. J. Michael Bailey et al., "Sexual Orientation of Adult Sons of Gay Fathers," *Developmental Psychology* 31 (1995): 124-129.
20. *Ibid.*, pp.127,128.
21. F. Tasker and S. Golombok, "Do Parents Influence the Sexual Orientation of Their Children?" *Developmental Psychology* 32 (1996): 7.
22. Judith Stacey and Timothy J. Biblarz, "(How) Does the Sexual Orientation of Parents Matter," *American Sociological Review* 66 (2001): 174, 179.
23. Nanette K. Gartrell, Henny M. W. Bos and Naomi G. Goldberg, "Adolescents of the U.S. National Longitudinal Lesbian Family Study: Sexual Orientation, *Sexual Behavior, and Sexual Risk Exposure*" *Archive of Sexual Behavior*, 40 (2011):1199-1209, p.1205.
24. Judith Stacey and Timothy J. Biblarz, "(How) Does the Sexual Orientation of Parents Matter," *American Sociological Review* 66 (2001): 174, 179.
25. Mark Regnerus, How Different are the Adult Children of Parents who have Same-Sex Relationships? Finding from the New Family Structures Study. 41 *Social Science Research*. 752 (2012).
26. Daniel Potter, Same-Sex Parent Families and Children's Academic Achievement. 74. *Journal of Marriage & Family*. 556 (2012).
27. Gwat Yong Lie and Sabrina Gentlewarrier, "Intimate Violence in Lesbian Relationships: Discussion of Survey Findings and Practice Implications," *Journal of Social Service Research* 15 (1991): 41-59.
28. D. Island and P. Letellier, *Men Who Beat the Men Who Love Them: Battered Gay Men and Domestic Violence* (New York: Haworth Press, 1991), p. 14.
29. Lettie L. Lockhart et al., "Letting out the Secret: Violence in Lesbian Relationships," *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 9 (1994): 469-492.
30. "Violence Between Intimates," Bureau of Justice Statistics Selected Findings, November 1994, p. 2.
31. *Health Implications Associated With Homosexuality* (Austin: The Medical Institute for Sexual Health, 1999), p. 79.
32. David P. McWhirter and Andrew M. Mattison, *The Male Couple: How Relationships Develop* (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1984), pp. 252-253.
33. M. Saghir and E. Robins, *Male and Female Homosexuality* (Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1973), p. 225; L.A. Peplau and H. Amaro, "Understanding Lesbian Relationships," in *Homosexuality: Social, Psychological, and Biological Issues*, ed. J. Weinrich and W. Paul (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1982).
34. Schumm, Walter R.(2010) 'Comparative Relationship Stability of Lesbian Mother and Heterosexual Mother Families: A Review of Evidence', *Marriage & Family Review*, 46: 8, 499-509.
35. M. Pollak, "Male Homosexuality," in *Western Sexuality: Practice and Precept in Past and Present Times*, ed. P. Ariès and A. Bejin, translated by Anthony Forster (New York, NY: B. Blackwell, 1985), pp. 40-61, cited by Joseph Nicolosi in *Reparative Therapy of Male Homosexuality* (Northvale, New Jersey: Jason Aronson Inc., 1991), pp. 124, 125.
36. A. P. Bell and M. S. Weinberg, *Homosexualities: A Study of Diversity Among Men and Women* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1978), pp. 308, 309; See also A. P. Bell, M. S. Weinberg, and S. K. Hammersmith, *Sexual Preference* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1981).
37. Paul Van de Ven et al., "A Comparative Demographic and Sexual Profile of Older Homosexually Active Men," *Journal of Sex Research* 34 (1997): 354.
38. A. A. Deenen, "Intimacy and Sexuality in Gay Male Couples," *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 23 (1994): 421-431.
39. "Sex Survey Results," *Genre* (October 1996), quoted in "Survey Finds 40 percent of Gay Men Have Had More Than 40 Sex Partners," *Lambda Report*, January 1998, p. 20.
40. Marie Xiridou, et al., "The Contribution of Steady and Casual Partnerships to the Incidence of HIV infection among Homosexual Men in Amsterdam," *AIDS* 17 (2003): 1029-1038. [Note: one of the findings of this recent study is that those classified as being in "steady relationships" reported an average of 8 casual partners a year in addition to their partner (p. 1032)]
41. J. Bradford et al., "National Lesbian Health Care Survey: Implications for Mental Health Care," *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 62 (1994): 239, cited in *Health Implications Associated with Homosexuality*, p. 81.
42. Theo G. M. Sandfort, et al., "Same-sex Sexual Behavior and Psychiatric Disorders," *Archives of General Psychiatry* 58 (January 2001): 85-91.
43. Bailey, J. M. Commentary: Homosexuality and mental illness. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry*. 56 (1999): 876-880. Author states, "These studies contain arguably the best published data on the association between homosexuality and psychopathology, and both converge on the same unhappy conclusion: homosexual people are at substantially

higher risk for some form of emotional problems; including suicidality, major depression, and anxiety disorder, conduct disorder, and nicotine dependence..."

44. Joanne Hall, "Lesbians Recovering from Alcoholic Problems: An Ethnographic Study of Health Care Expectations," *Nursing Research* 43 (1994): 238-244.

45. R. Herrell et al., "Sexual Orientation and Suicidality, Co-twin Study in Adult Men," *Archives of General Psychiatry* 56 (1999): 867-874.

46. Vickie M. Mays, et al., "Risk of Psychiatric Disorders among Individuals Reporting Same-sex Sexual Partners in the National Comorbidity Survey," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 91 (June 2001): 933-939.

47. Robert S. Hogg et al., "Modeling the Impact of HIV Disease on Mortality in Gay and Bisexual Men," *International Journal of Epidemiology* 26 (1997): 657.

48. Sandfort, T.G.M.; de Graaf, R.; Bijl, R.V.; Schnabel. Same-sex sexual behavior and psychiatric disorders. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry*. 58 (2001): 85-91.